

# PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 12 August 1958		2. LOCATION Cleveland, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon  <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 9:22 P.M. GMT 13/0222Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical Meteor <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical	
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		6. SOURCE Civilian		<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 17 secs		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE East	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Medium red obj, near perfect circle, edges sharply outlined, had a steady movement fm West to East, size of dime.				11. COMMENTS Meteor Sighting.	



(40) Do you think you can estimate how far away the object was?

Answer: I cannot give an accurate estimate of the object's height.

(41) My name is

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] - Cleveland, Ohio

Telephone is: [REDACTED]

Presently among the unemployed.

Age - 35 Sex - Male

Grade School - Kenton Elementary  
Junior High School - William Howard Taft  
High School - Taft Technical High

(42) Date questionnaire completed;

9-9-58

(43) Date questionnaire sent to U. S. G. F. T. I. S.

9-25-58

P.S. A further thought on Question Number Ten.  
The object I saw was of a complete medium red color. As for the reference to the sun-light reflection upon the object, it may have been the reflected sun-light on the object to an exact curvature of a degree to the height of the object in relation to the curvature of the earth.



10

and reflection of the sun on the object to a degree, but after it got into the earth's shadow it still remained a medium red color.

P.P.S. As a backing-up to my statements of Section 24 I would like to point out an interesting fact in relationship to my theory of the electric-magnetic viewpoint.

That ~~is~~ is with the "Leiden jar" experiment.

~~used~~ used in <sup>electric</sup> magnetic setups in his experiment and got the same results of the well-known, "angel's hair" that falls from the sky on the altars of the W. & S.

Also:



Date

Witness

United States Air Force Technical Information  
Office

①

Object Sighting

Day

Month

Year

12

August

1958

1700 hours  
Summer

②

Time of Day

Hour

Minutes

9: (2121)

:22

(P.M.)

③

Time zone

② Eastern Standard

① Daylight Savings Time

④

Reported place of seeing object (R.T.O.)

Home

[REDACTED]

② Low level (i) Ohio

⑤

An estimate of length of sighting was

② approximately 17, seventeen seconds

2- or -3 seconds either way

I gave the object an approximate assessment.

⑥

Conditions of sky

(It, (the sky,) was dark.

③

⑦

Did not see object at daylight, twilight,

or dawn. The sky was dark.

⑧

I saw the object at night

8.1. Stars were many. ②

8.2) Bright Moonlight ③

⑨

Background of the sky to the object

brightness

② Yes.

⑩


The answer to #10 question is that the object

was not the brightness of any of the four points,



12. The object never moved behind anything else.

FT B. - "Such as" 90°  
 at night 2000 ft



- (The object, as stated, in question 10, did not change in any way, up, or down, at up, or go; It moved at a steady pace of motion, or speed, as if being "drawn," like to a magnetic field of force, horizontally.

- June. 17 (NO) (The sky was bell-clear.)

- Ans. (b)

- © *Arled*

(continued)



④ Did you observe the object through any of the following?

Ans. Not with any of these.

Just with the naked eye.

The only background was the stars.


⑤ Tell in a few words the following things about the object.

a. Sound. There was no sound.

b. Color. The color was red.

red at all times & there the sighting.

⑦



The object was a near perfect circle  
I believe I saw it as a bottom view as I looked up.

There were no exhaust or vapors + rails.

No wings, or protrusions, etc, just a globular red circ object.

⑧ The edges of the object were

③ sharply outlined

19. There was of only one object.

EAST TO WEST

⑩

TO A

(over) The motion the object made was akin to a horizontal "draw" motion swiftly yet constantly moving such as in a controlled manner. Horizontal, field of



21. The object was smaller in dimension.  
As for the length of the object, being round in  
dimension, I could not say.

22. © dime

(I had a penny in my pocket and knowing  
of the arms length experiment, I held up  
the penny and it covered the area of the  
object.

22.1 (answer to Question 22

② Certain.

23. How did the object disappear from view?

Ans. The neighboring trees, etc, obstructed  
my view.

Also - The object came from the west on  
a straight parallel horizontal line of  
motion and left my view to the east  
in the same manner.


24. This is a hypothetical question obviously  
to view as many facets of the disc as possible,  
but, I'll give my view for what it's worth.  
To start with my theory as the flying saucer  
is this:

I have believed, for years, that it is composed,  
or "made" of a flexible, non-metallic  
material which gives a "clay" of shape to the  
object thru its mode of travel, which I think,  
is a force field; that to be exact, an electro-magnetic  
field of force.



that bring the "travel" or "motion" of the mass into direct degree lines of "motion." I believe there is a "give" in its body when transitioning from a horizontal to a vertical magnetic field. Being as we know its electro-magnetism is 270°, therefore why can't there be an "ahead" elec-magnetic field in front, back, and side of the sancer at all times, thus creating a line or lines of elec-magnetic "constancy." As such diagrammed below:

PT.C ↑ ↑ 90° H ↑ ↑ PT.B



- (PT.A.) Would evolve at say, 15% of electro-magnetic "constancy."
- (PT.B.) Would evolve at say, 40% of electro-magnetic "constancy."
- (PT.C.) Would evolve at say, 80% of "constancy."

Also the side-way "motion," or travel could be either from within, or without, as the field of force is lengthened, or shortened.

Of course, concerning the shape of the object it would be of a circular nature, such as the circular pattern makeup of our universe and space beyond of our universe. The "Plan" is follow a pattern of constancy.



of w.f.o. in relationship to the pattern and construction of our universe and space beyond. A circular pattern. The size of the object is not important. It could be any size.

(25) Where were you located when you saw the object? (C) Outdoors

(26) (3) Residential section of the city.

(27) I was waiting to view the moon come from the Russian Sputnik, which appeared previously, the last 5 nights in Cleveland's sky. I was scanning the sky to the North-Northwest when turning my head North-west-West I caught a glimpse of this object, and follow it, which was about 3-5' from directly overhead until it disappeared from view to the east, and lost in the natural surroundings, trees, etc.

(28) Answer to #28 question.

I was not in an automobile

(29) What direction were you looking when you first saw the object? Answer (G) West



③① What direction were you looking when you last saw the object? Answer ☒ East

③①.1. <sup>Appearance</sup> ☐ not sure  
☒  $45^\circ$  from western horizon, moving west.  
(I did not see it instantaneously)

③① 2.


☐ not sure

☒ approximately  $55^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$  due east to disappearance

③②



③③



③④

34.1. ☒ a

34.2. ☒ c

34.3. ☒ e

34.4. ☒ f

③⑤ When did you report to some official that you had seen the object?

day  
17

month  
August

year  
1950

I reported my sighting to Earl F. Hoff,  
member of N.I.C.A.P. in the Greater Cleveland  
area. (National Investigating Committee for Aerial  
Phenomena.)

③⑥ Was anyone with you at the time you saw the object? ☒ No

(over)



③⑦ Was this the first time that you saw  
an object, or objects like this? Answer (Yes)

③⑧ In your opinion what do you think the  
object was? And what might have caused it?

Answer: I believe the object was a jet.  
Why? Because it was travelling too fast  
for an air-plane, it left not a vapor trail  
in a very clear sky. It was not a weather  
balloon, because at the tremendous height it  
was not wavering in motion, nor off at an  
irregular course at any time. And a plane  
that high would not have a red glow the  
size of a penny. The only back it had was  
the stars themselves. It was not of a  
natural cause.

③⑨ Do you think you could estimate the speed  
of the object?

(Answer) At the time I saw the object, which  
approximately lasted for 17 seconds, I would say,  
after watching later that night approximately 10 minutes  
later, that correlating the two objects, I'd say  
the thing traveled at some thousands miles per  
hour, though it was not as high as the ~~Antarctic~~  
the time element is the differential ~~between~~ of